

Variational Approach to Quantum Metrology

R. Kaubruegger, P. Silvi, and P. Zoller

Center for Quantum Physics, Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Physics,
University of Innsbruck
Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences
e-mail: raphael.kaubruegger@uibk.ac.at

The question if quantum whether quantum metrology can be enhanced by using entangled states is around for many years. In a noise free environment the question is answered by squeezed states [1] or GHZ states [2] respectively. However these states seem to be particularly susceptible to noise [3].

The question we try to address is how much we can improve if the interferometric experiment is exposed to realistic noise. While there have been various approaches to address this question by exploiting error correction schemes [4] we want to focus on a different approach. The idea is to use a closed loop variational state preparation that can compensate for different noise sources without explicitly knowing them. To pave the way to this ambitious goal we are right now digitally simulating the variational state preparation and frequency noise, with different coherence times, to figure out in which scenarios we can actually improve compared to unentangled states.

To address this question we evolve the density matrix, which is initially the variational prepared state, according to the dissipative map that describes the considered frequency noise. To bound the sensitivity of these density matrices we calculate the (quantum) Fisher information. Experimentally the different sensitivities measures correspond to different requirements on the resolution of the measurement.

This analysis allows us to predict the possible entanglement improvement depending on the resources (possible variational gates and measurements) that a certain experimental platform provides.

Keywords: QUANTUM METROLOGY, ENTANGLEMENT, VARIATIONAL QUANTUM SIMULATION, HYBRID QUANTUM-CLASSICAL ALGORITHM

References

- [1] D. Wineland et al. Phys. Rev. A 46, R6797 (1992).
- [2] J. Bollinger et al., Phys. Rev. A 54, R4649 (1996)
- [3] T. Monz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 130506 (2011)
- [4] R. Demkowicz-Dobrzański et al., Phys. Rev. X 7, 041009 (2017)